

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. SON 1450
Alexandra, Virginis 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

A O'DY YOU A THYON A			Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
09/936,847	06/04/2002		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	7590 07:/01/20 VENIVONI	Frank Stanglmeier	101191/1958	1438	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			EXAMINER		
			TUNG, TA	HSUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application		_	29
Óffica A. II.	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner (TY/1) TANGE	MEIER	13 TAC
	-	TUNG	Group Art Unit	0.
-The MAILING DATE of this communication	(c	(0,00)	1753	1 april
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply	s on the cover she	eet beneath the co	respondence a	address
		_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	AILING DAT
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reflection of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status and reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	1.136(a). In no event, he ply within the statutor	owever, may a reply by minimum of thirty (30	e timely filed after S	SIX (6) MONTH
Status		ever if time	y, may reduce any e	earned patent
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3			
This action is FINAL.	<u> </u>			
☐ Since this application is in a set the	_			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (Disposition of Claims	or formal matters, ¡ C.D. 1 1: 453 O.G.	prosecution as to	the merits is cl	osed in
reposition of Claims	7, 400 0.0.7	213.		
☑ Claim(s)(2 - 2 - 3 Of the above claim(s)		,		
				cation.
□ Claim(s) 12 - 23		Is/are with	ndrawn from cons	sideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are reje	cted.	
		is/are obje	cted to.	
Dication Papers		are subjec requiremen	t to restriction or	election
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ is 🗆 approved	☐ disannmund		
S/are objected	to by the Examine	r alsapproved.		
objected to by the Examiner		•		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	r351180 8407			
= 110.		≇)—(d).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been receiv	red.			
ocidined copies of the priority documents have been made		la ·		
in the billion of the bar.	- k			
and its stage application from the international property of the control of the c		(a))		
Certified copies not received:		(4)		
			•	
Guinerida)				
Guinerida)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		terview Summary, I	PTO-413	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892				PTO-152
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	□ Ne	otice of Informal Pa	tent Application,	PTO-152
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ N ₀		tent Application,	PTO-152

*U \$ GPO 2000-472-999/43204

Application/Control Number: 09/936,847

Art Unit: 1102

Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 12, line 12, "at least one of into and out...." is considered to be without supporting basis in the original disclosure. This expression appears to include the scenario wherein the oxygen is pumped into and out of the measuring gas compartment at the same time. Where is the basis for that. The original disclosure supports pumping oxygen either into or out of the compartment, but not simultaneously.

This rejection is prompted by applicant's May 21, 2003 response.

Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12, lines 9, 11(both occ.) and 13, "electromechanial" is not understood. Does applicant mean--electrochemical--?

Claim 12, line 12, unless applicant intends to include the simultaneous pumping of oxygen into and out of the measuring gas compartment (which is considered to be without supporting basis as discussed before), the wording "at least one of into and out of" should simply be --into or out of--.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,847

Art Unit: 1102

Claim 12, line 13, "wherein the circuit applies...." is process language and not proper for an apparatus claim. Wording such as --wherein the circuit is adapted to apply....-- should be used instead.

This rejection is prompted by applicant's response.

Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al 5,672,811.

Applicant argues that Kato does not disclose a circuit applying a voltage such that a partial pressure of oxygen in the measuring gas compartment corresponds to a lambda value equal to or greate than 1.3.

This argument is not persuasive. A voltage value or a lambda value is a process limitation and can not serve as a patentable distinction for an apparatus claim over prior art. The voltage source 20 in Kato is a variable power source (col. 12, line 28) that can be adjusted to whatever value is desired, including a value that would yield the lambda value recited in the instant claims. Thus, the Kato device is capable of carrying out the function of applicant's claimed apparatus. Whether the patented device is actually being operated in a manner to achieve that lambda value is irrelevant. If applicant has a patentable invention, it would be in a method for operating an apparatus, not the apparatus itself.

As for claim 20, Kato discloses an example of 0.8% gold at col. 27, line 63.

In the IDS of May 21, 2003, the DE document has been considered only to to the extent of its drawings.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,847

Page 4

Art Unit: 1102

The substitute specification is approved.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The examiner can be reached at 703-308-3329. His supervisor Nam Nguyen can be reached at 703-308-3322. Any general inquiry should be directed to the receptionist at 703-308-0661. A fax number for TC 1700 is 703-872-9311

/. Ta Tung

Ta Tung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753